

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,653	08/20/2003	Ronald F. LaBruno	2188P0350US	5433	
41528	7590 01/09/2006		EXAMINER		
THE LAW OFFICE OF RANDALL T. ERICKSON, P.C.			HEITBRINK, TIMOTHY W		
	EST WESLEY STREET, SUITE 1 TON. IL 60187		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1722		
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/09/2006	DATE MAIL ED: 01/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				V
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/644,653	LABRUNO ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	-
		Tim Heitbrink	1722	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Di</u>	<u>ecember 2005</u> .		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-12 and 15-22</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	With Hoth Consideration.		
·	Claim(s) <u>1-3, 6-12, 15-22</u> is/are rejected.			
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)☐ objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			l).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	•	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	ed in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bureau			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachmer	nt(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/644,653

Art Unit: 1722

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3, 6-12, 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Proper support is not found for the negative limitation "without flat segments."

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/644,653

Art Unit: 1722

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 6-12, 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandberg et al. (US Patent 4,418,446).

Sandberg et al. disclose a food patty forming apparatus comprising a frame having a base 12, a first plate 80 having a fill opening, a second plate 82, a mold plate 18 guided to reciprocate between a fill and discharge position, the mold plate 18 cooperating with the plates 80 and 82 to form recessed portions therebetween to form raised portions on the formed food patty. See column 4, line 67 through column 5, line 10. A mechanism (not shown) reciprocates the mold plate between a fill position and a discharge position and a food product delivery 62 configured to deliver pressurized food product into said fill opening. See Fig. 5 where a formed product has a horizontal profile having curved sides.

While Sandberg et al. does not disclose continuously curved top and bottom surfaces without flat segments, such a change in shape would have been obvious in light of In re Dailey et al, 149 USPQ 47 where a change in shape is considered within the skill in the art when operation of a device is not otherwise modified. Shaping the cavity in the form of a drumstick is considered obvious since shaping the cavity to that of the article desired would be within the skill of the ordinary artisan. Reversing the positions of the plates 80 and 82 would have been obvious in light of In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70 where a shift in location of parts when the operation of the device is not otherwise modified is considered within the skill of the ordinary artisan.

Art Unit: 1722

Applicant's arguments filed April 6, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Sandberg does not teach contouring the patties with curved lines or sides in both the horizontal and vertical profiles. The Examiner disagrees. As disclosed in column 1, lines 26-30, molding machines can produce food patties of widely varying peripheral shapes (along a horizontal axis). Fig. 7 shows a curved surface 262 and 264 along a vertical axis to be conventional. Curving the cavity along both horizontal and vertical profiles would thus be obvious in light of the disclosure of Sandberg et al.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Heitbrink whose telephone number is 571-272-1132. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 5:30-4:00.

Art Unit: 1722

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tim Heitbrink
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1722

1405

twh